



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,642	11/18/2003	Masayuki Takenaka	117215	2531
25944 75	90 10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			LE, T	AN
ALEXANDRIA	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3632	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\mathcal{U}$					
<i>V</i> –	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/714,642	TAKENAKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tan Le	3632			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO I, by statute, cause the application to become.	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 18 November 2003				
· ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the approximate the approximate that allowed claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		•			
Applicant may not request that any objection	***	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the state of the s					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

4

Application/Control Number: 10/714,642 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a control unit being fixed to and supported on the drive unit using a vibration proof device wherein the drive unit is a hybrid drive unit connected to an internal combustion engine type, classified in

class 123, subclass 179.3

II. Claims 20- 26, drawn to a vibration mount for an electronic device,

classified in class 248, subclass 638.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility and can be utilized as vibration mount for vibration isolation or energy absorbing to a variety of different machines or instruments. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

The species of Figures 1-3

The species of Fig. 4

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none claims appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-6818.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri. from 9:00 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,642

Art Unit: 3632

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J C

Tan Le September 27, 2005. PRIMARY EXAMINER